1	STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS
2	DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND TRAINING
3	
4	
	PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING IN RE: :
5	:
	RHODE ISLAND STATE :
6	APPRENTICESHIP COUNCIL :
	:
7	:
8	
9	February 26, 2008
	9:30 A.M.
10	1511 Pontiac Avenue
	Cranston, Rhode Island 02920
11	
12	MEMBERS PRESENT:
13	WILLIAM HOLMES, CHAIRMAN
	WILLIAM J. RILEY
14	DAVID MARLAND
	WILLIAM O. LEPORE
15	
16	VALENTINO LOMBARDI, ESQ., COUNSEL TO THE BOARD
	SANDRA M. POWELL
47	DONALD D. DIAMPRILOSO

18	
19	
20	RHODE ISLAND COURT REPORTING
	747 NORTH MAIN STREET
21	PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02904
	(401)437-3366
22	
23	
24	RHODE ISLAND COURT REPORTING (401) 437-3366
000	02
1	(COMMENCED AT 9:32 A.M.)
2	MR. HOLMES: We'll open the meeting
3	of the Rhode Island State Apprenticeship Council.
4	First order of business would be to accept
5	our minutes of January 22. Everybody received a
6	copy in the mail.
7	MR. LEPORE: Motion to accept as
8	printed.
9	MR. RILEY: I'll second that
10	motion.
11	MR. HOLMES: Any questions or
12	discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor,
13	signify by saying aye? Opposed?
14	(VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
15	MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
16	Before we really get started, I'd like to

- 17 take the opportunity to welcome our new member, David Marland, from Local 51 Plumbers and 18 Pipefitters taking the place of Mike Ruggieri. So 19 20 we're getting closer to having a full board. We 21 still have one opening which I discussed with the 22 director. We'll probably have a conversation on that later. But welcome, David, and good luck. 23 24 MR. MARLAND: Thanks. 0003 1 MR. HOLMES: If you have any questions, feel free to contact any one of us. 2 3 MR. MARLAND: Thank you. 4 MR. HOLMES: First item on the 5 agenda is Apprentice Approvals. I'm told that they're all in order. I'll take Buddy's word for 6 7 it. As he used to say, they're all in order if 8 the council decides to pass. 9 MR. RILEY: I make a motion we 10 accept the apprentice approvals. 11 MR. LEPORE: Second. 12 MR. HOLMES: Any questions or discussion on the items? 13 14 MR. LEPORE: I have a question,
- 15 Mr. Chairman. How are we going to verify these in
 16 the future until Buddy is replaced?
 17 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: We put the package

- 18 together, Howard Carney comes in once a week and
- 19 approves them until we have a replacement for
- 20 Buddy Ekno.
- 21 MR. HOLMES: Just, it's probably a
- 22 little out of line, but from what I understand,
- 23 Buddy's job had been posted a week or so ago and
- 24 the deadline for resumes has come and gone. From 0004
- 1 what I understand, quite a few?
- 2 MS. SERRECCHIA: Twenty-six as of
- 3 this morning.
- 4 MR. HOLMES: Some time very soon,
- 5 there will be a committee to review them and
- 6 probably interview -- whittle it down to some and
- 7 create an interview process. So my goal, I think,
- 8 would be to get somebody on board, obviously, as
- 9 soon as possible. If it is possible, I hope prior
- 10 to next month's meeting, if that's at all
- 11 possible. We'll see what we can do.
- 12 MS. SERRECCHIA: We can hope.
- MR. HOLMES: Okay.
- 14 Item 2, no New Companies at this time.
- 15 Item 3, Completion Certificates. Abilene
- 16 Oil, I believe, has been found in order, waiting
- 17 passage.
- 18 MR. RILEY: I make a motion we

accept the completion certificates. 19 20 MR. MARLAND: Second. MR. HOLMES: Any questions? All 21 22 those in favor, signify by saving ave? Opposed? 23 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES) MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it. 24 0005 1 Item 4 is mainly for information for the 2 council requiring no action. It's just information letting everybody know who the 3 apprentices that have been cancelled are. 4 5 Any questions or discussion on those items? 6 Being none, we'll move along. 7 Nothing in Item 5, Item 6. Item 7, with permission, we'll hold until the 8 9 end, hopefully. I'd like to complete the rest of the agenda before we go into the rules, rules on 10 ratios, et cetera. 11 Item 8, New Business, State Apprentice 12 Council Unilateral Modification of Policies and/or 13 Procedures. Somebody want to give me a little --14 15 I'm not sure what it's here for. MR. D'AMBRUOSO: That was completed 16 last month, Mr. Chairman. 17 18 MR. HOLMES: Let the record show that that item has been completed. 19

20	Compliance/analysis completed. Again, for
21	information, I assume, the bricklayers, laborers,
22	Energy Electric, Brookside, D&D, Reagan
23	Engineering, and Stedman, et cetera, those
24	compliance/analyses have been completed, Howard?
000	06
1	MR. CARNEY: Reagan Engineering
2	should not be on this list. They have not
3	completed their Affirmative Action
4	responsibilities. They should be sent a letter
5	stating such and until finish their paperwork.
6	All the rest of them are in order.
7	MR. HOLMES: Motion be in order to
8	accept the analysis on all the items except Reagan
9	Engineering?
10	MR. LEPORE: I'll make a motion.
11	MR. RILEY: I'll second that
12	motion.
13	MR. HOLMES: All those in favor,
14	signify by saying aye? Opposed?
15	(VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
16	MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
17	On Reagan Engineering, the motion would be in
18	order to send them a letter saying that they have
19	been found they're not in compliance and should be
20	brought into compliance before any indenturing of

- apprentices. 21 22 MR. LEPORE: I'll make that motion. 23 MR. RILEY: I'll second that 24 motion. 0007 1 MR. HOLMES: Any questions or discussions? All in favor, signify by saying aye? 2 Opposed? 3 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES) 4 5 MR. HOLMES: The aves have it. **Amended Standards for Ironworkers?** 6 7 MR. CARNEY: We'll have to hold 8 that to next month. It's a little premature. 9 They haven't been completed. 10 MR. HOLMES: So noted. Hold till 11 next month. 12 Okay. Next, Louis Petrucci from Interstate Electric would like to address the SAC to review 13 an apprenticeship served. I believe we have the 14 paperwork here. 15 MR. PETRUCCI: Yes. Mr. Chairman, 16
- MR. PETRUCCI: Yes. Mr. Chairman,
 you should have all -- my name is Louis Petrucci.
- 18 MR. LEWIS: Brian Lewis. I'm the19 apprentice that is being discussed right now.
- 20 I've been with Interstate for five years now. I
- 21 originally worked up in Massachusetts where I got

- 22 my schooling for three years. I moved out here
- 23 over a year and a half ago, completed my 4th year
- 24 of schooling which is on record with my file that 0008
 - 1 I sent back in September. I received a letter
- 2 October 16 stating I had insufficient time and I
- 3 must show proof of apprenticeship and proof of
- 4 schooling through Rhode Island, and it said to
- 5 renew the apprenticeship card. I renewed the
- 6 apprenticeship card. I had gotten, what you see
- 7 in front of you today, three certificates
- 8 certifying my hours through George Gould Institute
- 9 and which is, I believe, credited with ABC, as
- 10 well. Also, I had talked to Glenn Dusablon, and
- 11 he had said to show proof of the actual curriculum
- 12 and the book, the publisher which is also with the
- 13 NECC, and that was the stipulations as of right
- 14 now.
- 15 I did my three years, like I said, in Mass.,
- 16 moved down here to Rhode Island. And from what I
- 17 had understood when I was told that I would have
- 18 no problem getting it if I had the correct
- 19 paperwork back in September. And then I finally
- 20 found out in December that I wasn't able to.
- 21 That's when I came in January and February,
- 22 today, to discuss if I can take the exam with the

- 23 paperwork I have in front of you showing my hours.
- MR. HOLMES: Howard, it sounds like 0009
- 1 a licensing issue.
- 2 MR. CARNEY: Yeah. It most
- 3 certainly is. Who sent you the letter?
- 4 MR. LEWIS: The letter was through
- 5 Department of Labor and Training through Ronald
- 6 D'Ambruoso.
- 7 MR. CARNEY: I don't want to see
- 8 it.
- 9 It sounds to me like this is a licensing
- 10 problem. I don't know why we're entertaining this
- 11 at all.
- MR. D'AMBRUOSO: The council has to
- 13 approve his apprenticeship before we can send it
- 14 to licensing. Are they going to accept his
- 15 apprenticeship hours or aren't they?
- 16 MR. HOLMES: A couple of things.
- 17 You're asking for the related training hours; is
- 18 that correct? I'm just trying to frame the
- 19 question. You're requesting three years,
- 20 according to these certificates, you're requesting
- 21 three years of related training from the Gould
- 22 Institute?
- 23 MR. LEWIS: Yes.

24	MR. HOLMES: That's your request?			
0010				
1	That's the extent of your request, correct?			
2	MR. PETRUCCI: Correct, because he			
3	already served his final year in Rhode Island and			
4	has been a resident of Rhode Island.			
5	MR. HOLMES: My first question is			
6	has the state of Massachusetts State			
7	Apprenticeship Council accepted the Gould			
8	Institute as a qualified program?			
9	MR. PETRUCCI: Has the state of			
10	Massachusetts accepted it? My understanding, yes.			
11	MR. HOLMES: What we've done in the			
12	past is if a neighboring state has approved the			
13	curriculum and is on an accepted list for them,			
14	then we can use their judgment as it's the correct			
15	curriculum.			
16	MS. POWELL: Mr. Chairman, it looks			
17	like right on the bottom.			
18	MR. HOLMES: It says on it. It			
19	says it's been accepted by the state Department of			
20	Education. Okay.			
21	MS. POWELL: And Department of			
22	Labor, Division of Apprentice Training, as well.			

MR. HOLMES: If I may, Buddy, we've

24 done this in the past when institutions have been

- 1 approved by Mass., we've accepted them as --
- 2 MR. EKNO: Right. As long as he
- 3 was in the registered program which it sounds like
- 4 he was. He's got all the certificates right there
- 5 showing 144 hours.
- 6 MR. HOLMES: That brings up another
- 7 point. Were you in an accepted program in
- 8 Massachusetts?
- 9 MR. PETRUCCI: Yeah. His sponsor
- 10 was Interstate Electrical. He went to the Gould
- 11 Institute. So yes.
- 12 MR. HOLMES: I think for the file
- 13 we have to have proof that he was enrolled in
- 14 Massachusetts for this time. Assuming we have
- 15 that and proof of OJT hours just for our file.
- 16 Assuming that would be provided, I'm not going to
- 17 hold it up right now.
- 18 MR. PETRUCCI: Well, unofficially,
- 19 we've got his hours in with the company. The
- 20 certificate --
- 21 MR. HOLMES: The Commonwealth is
- 22 here that he was enrolled. It's part of the
- 23 packet.
- 24 MR. PETRUCCI: You're looking for

enrollment in the school? 1 MR. HOLMES: No. An indentured 2 program. That he was enrolled in an indentured 3 program in Massachusetts which I believe this is 4 5 it. MR. PETRUCCI: Yes. 6 7 MR. HOLMES: So he has the indenture. He has this. 8 Any other questions from anybody or any other 9 10 comments? 11 We need proof of OJT hours to accompany this **12** for that same period of time. Assuming we get that, I would possibly entertain a motion if it's 13 14 the wish of the council. 15 MR. LEPORE: Mr. Chairman, I don't 16 understand this document. Is this an application 17 for license examination from the state of Massachusetts or does it serve some other purpose? 18 19 MR. RILEY: It looks like a license application for a journeyman electrician. 20 21 MR. LEWIS: I'm not sure if it shows the hours on the back. 22 23 MR. HOLMES: You're right. This is 24 not the indentured form. It's a license 0013 1 application.

- 2 Louie, you're familiar with this. You know
- 3 the indentured form that every apprentice has to
- 4 have here. Massachusetts has a similar form. We
- 5 would need that, as well as an affidavit about or
- 6 proof of OJT for the same period to approve this.
- 7 MR. PETRUCCI: All right.
- 8 MR. EKNO: If I can, Mr. Chairman.
- 9 MR. HOLMES: Yes.
- 10 MR. EKNO: My name is Buddy Ekno.
- 11 Louie Petrucci and the gentleman with him, Brian,
- 12 if they were in a registered program up in
- 13 Massachusetts, they would get from Massachusetts
- 14 an apprenticeship agreement. They used to be
- 15 stamped by Mr. John Ricci who is retired. Then it
- 16 would be Mr. David Wallace or Rita Gill
- 17 (phonetic). If they get proof of that, they'd be
- 18 in the program.
- 19 MR. HOLMES: I would assume -- now
- 20 that we need that information, I think we have to
- 21 table it until we get it to make sure. But with
- 22 the understanding if that comes in, the
- 23 appropriate paperwork, I think we would pass it
- 24 next month, I believe.

- 1 MR. LEPORE: Does this application
- 2 qualify you to take the exam in Massachusetts?

- 3 MR. LEWIS: It will, yes, when I
- 4 finish my application. I still have to get my
- 5 hours to Rhode Island. That's the official
- 6 license, paperwork.
- 7 MR. LEPORE: So that you currently
- 8 don't qualify in Massachusetts, either.
- 9 MR. RILEY: It's four years and ten
- 10 months.
- 11 MR. LEWIS: Right, because I have
- 12 one more year that I served in Rhode Island. I'm
- 13 waiting for paperwork to pass into Rhode Island to
- 14 Massachusetts for my exam.
- 15 MR. PETRUCCI: That will give us
- 16 four, three in Massachusetts and one here.
- 17 MR. LEPORE: I make a motion that
- 18 we table it until the documentation is completed.
- 19 MR. RILEY: I'll second that
- 20 motion.
- 21 MR. HOLMES: Any other questions?
- Just so we're clear, you're going to need the
- 23 indenture agreement from Massachusetts, proof of
- 24 OJT, and any other document that is pertinent to
- 1 this.

- 2 MR. PETRUCCI: All right.
- 3 MR. HOLMES: All those in favor,

- 4 signify by saying aye? Opposed?
- 5 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
- 6 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
- 7 Letter from Mr. Glen Corcetti from the
- 8 Florida East Coast Chapter of the Associated
- 9 General Contractors to get approval from SAC on
- 10 classroom related hours. I don't believe we have
- 11 anyone here to testify in this matter; and without
- 12 anybody here, I don't think we're in a position to
- 13 address this. So I would entertain a motion to
- 14 table it for further information.
- 15 MR. LEPORE: I make that motion,
- 16 Mr. Chairman.
- 17 MR. MARLAND: Second.
- 18 MR. HOLMES: Questions or
- 19 discussion? All those in favor, signify by saying
- 20 aye? Opposed?
- 21 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
- MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
- 23 ESAC, June 1, 2008. The deadline, I believe,
- 24 is the first or second week in May for application 0016
- 1 to get registered. I would highly encourage any
- 2 of the board members to attend. I would ask the
- 3 director if at all possible to send probably
- 4 Ronnie and Kathy and, if we're lucky enough to

- 5 have Buddy's replacement, I would highly encourage
- 6 them to go. There's going to be -- this will be a
- 7 very interesting and informative ESAC. And I
- 8 think for this council's purpose, it would be very
- 9 beneficial for them to go.
- 10 MS. POWELL: And this is the one in
- 11 Connecticut?
- MR. HOLMES: It's in Foxwoods,
- 13 Connecticut.
- 14 MS. POWELL: Okay. Thank you.
- 15 MR. HOLMES: If you don't have
- 16 applications already, I'll get them to you.
- 17 MS. POWELL: I appreciate it.
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 MR. HOLMES: Thank you.
- 20 Proposed Revisions to Code, Regulation 29-29.
- 21 Jill is not here.
- 22 MR. CARNEY: I just wanted to
- 23 notify the council that there's been an extension
- 24 to March 12, '08 for comments. It had already
- 0017
 - 1 closed and they extended it.
- 2 MR. HOLMES: To March 8, right?
- 3 MR. CARNEY: March 12.
- 4 MR. HOLMES: Okay. I know there's
- 5 numerous organizations that are sending comments

- 6 in. I don't think we've really a taken a
- 7 position. It would be rhetoric to some of the
- 8 other comments that have been sent in, so. So
- 9 noted.
- 10 Before we get into the ratios and rules and
- 11 regulations, Christine, would you have any
- 12 comments for the council?
- 13 MS. GRIECO: My name is Christine
- 14 Grieco. I brought with me an updated action plan
- 15 draft because we keep adding and changing to it,
- 16 but basically it is to update you as to what we've
- 17 been working on as far as the RFP proposals. We
- 18 hired a consultant, and we actually had the
- 19 evaluator rater consensus meeting on February 19.
- 20 For the first time in my life, all seven
- 21 evaluators, reader/rater individuals, all agreed
- 22 on the exact same company to hire for our
- 23 apprenticeship program. They have numerous
- 24 projects that they've worked on directly with the 0018
 - 1 apprenticeship. You would know some of the names
 - 2 that are attached to them, John Griffin for one.
 - 3 They've had years and years of apprenticeship
 - 4 background, as well as a lot of other important
 - 5 background that we needed.
 - 6 So we scored them. I didn't bring copies of

- 7 all this, but this is what the scoring sheet looks
- 8 like. When we complete everything with every
- 9 single individual who sent their RFP in, they'd
- 10 have gotten a scoring rate that looks like this
- 11 which will be handed in in a full package to
- 12 Sandra Powell and a number of other people.
- 13 Besides that scoring plan, an individual summary
- 14 evaluation has been written up on each of the five
- 15 proposers who sent in a proposal. So I
- 16 accomplished that, as well.
- 17 And the next -- last week, I presented this
- 18 company to the Youth Committee Group because they
- 19 are going to be partnering with us on this. They
- 20 have endorsed this company. The next step will be
- 21 that the individual company will be presented to
- 22 the Strategic Planning Committee of the Governor's
- 23 Workforce Board and Chairman Bill Holmes along
- 24 with the Youth Committee director, I believe he's 0019
- 1 called, Brandon Milton, they will be presenting it
- 2 to the Strategic Planning Committee on March 10 at
- 3 2:30 in the afternoon in 69-1, Building 69, first
- 4 floor. The Governor's Workforce Group team will
- 5 then negotiate the contract with this company and
- 6 then they will present this individual company to
- 7 the full Governor's Workforce Board on March 13.

- 8 The consultant is expected to begin work on
- 9 April 2, and they will be meeting with the
- 10 Steering Committee which Sandra and a number of us
- 11 have been working together to put a huge Steering
- 12 Committee group together, and a number of you
- 13 right here in this room are on that committee, and
- 14 Youth Committee individuals along with employers
- 15 are all on that Steering Committee meeting. The
- 16 consultant's work should be completed with us
- 17 around August 20 and a full report project package
- 18 presented to the Governor's Workforce Board on
- 19 September 11.
- 20 So we're moving along with this. Now that
- 21 we've gotten to this stage, it really seems to be
- 22 moving very quickly and rapidly and everything is
- 23 on target at this time. So I'm very pleased to be
- 24 able to present that company to the Youth 0020
- 1 Committee.
- 2 Is there anything else?
- 3 MS. POWELL: Christine, thank you.
- 4 I think the other thing that I want to add, and I
- 5 know we have a new member of the Apprenticeship
- 6 Council. So welcome.
- 7 MR. MARLAND: Thank you.
- 8 MS. POWELL: This project, and I

- 9 know the council has been talking about it for a
- 10 long time, many years, it seems like we're finally
- 11 moving along, and I think the very nice thing is
- 12 that the consultant group that was agreed to at
- 13 this point amongst all of the readers and raters
- 14 of the proposals seems to be one that has a
- 15 tremendous amount of experience with issues of
- 16 apprenticeship which is very important.
- 17 The other thing is relative to the Steering
- 18 Committee, actually what we do want to do is sit
- 19 down with Chairman Holmes, and I did speak to
- 20 Brandon Milton last night, Brandon Milton who is
- 21 the chair of the Youth Development Committee of
- 22 the Governor's Workforce Board. Because one of
- 23 the things we've talked quite a bit is tying the
- 24 change and expansion of apprenticeship into young0021
 - 1 people and connecting with young people to try to
- 2 make them aware of the opportunities in the
- 3 apprenticeship, make them aware, whether they're
- 4 in K-12 or a little bit older, that there are very
- 5 good job opportunities. And the council has said
- 6 many times that there really seems to be a
- 7 disconnect. A lot of effort made to connect with
- 8 the schools, but a little bit of a disconnect
- 9 where young people get the message it's four

- 10 years, that's the only goal in terms of having a
- 11 sustainable career. So that is one reason why I'm
- 12 joined with Brandon Milton of the Youth
- 13 Development Committee. Also, the Apprenticeship
- 14 Council did have a joint meeting, just kind of an
- 15 introductory meeting.
- 16 Regarding the Steering Committee, there is a
- 17 list of names that staff, all of us internally
- 18 have worked on. The idea is that list would be
- 19 submitted to Chairman Holmes and Brandon Milton to
- 20 review it and then to cull it down. One point of
- 21 clarification, nobody is on the Steering Committee
- 22 at this point. There are proposed names for the
- 23 Steering Committee and we'll go forward from there
- 24 with the input from the chairman.

- 1 In addition, what we're thinking about is the
- 2 idea of having subcommittees because it is a huge
- 3 number of names. We tried to involve the Industry
- 4 Partnership Group which the Governor has worked
- 5 with for the funding; get industries that are
- 6 growing in Rhode Island because they seemed like
- 7 they might be natural areas where apprenticeship
- 8 might be something that's doable, and then also
- 9 folks who are very connected with the
- 10 apprenticeship today. So we tried to bring the

- 11 two groups together in terms of expansion. And
- 12 then also education and career and technical ed
- 13 people to see if we can do more with them relative
- 14 to the expansion of apprenticeship.
- 15 So, again, it's a very, very large list of
- 16 names at this point, about 40 or some odd?
- 17 MS. GRIECO: Fifty.
- 18 MS. POWELL: Fifty, which may be
- 19 unworkable as a Steering Committee. So, again,
- 20 we're hoping that maybe some of those people could
- 21 work on various task teams under this process.
- MS. GRIECO: May I just add, also,
- 23 that I followed up on Coffey (phonetic) to do some
- 24 reference checks on them, also, and spoke to Tim

- 1 Connelly (phonetic) who is the team leader of the
- 2 Office of Apprenticeship in D.C., Tom Hartnett who
- 3 is the ACA chair in New York, and Tony Swoope who
- 4 has come to Rhode Island to speak to all of us,
- 5 and all three of these individuals gave a glowing
- 6 report on work they've done with this Coffey group
- 7 before in the past. And thank you, Kathy
- 8 Serrecchia and to also Bill Holmes who were part
- 9 of the reader/rater team.
- 10 And we are very comfortable and confident
- 11 that this particular consulting group is going to

- 12 bring everything -- all of our needs will be taken
- 13 care of as far as they're concerned with the
- 14 background they have.
- 15 MR. HOLMES: I would take this
- 16 opportunity to encourage the council, I would
- 17 recommend to the council that we endorse the
- 18 hiring of Coffey Consultants, being part of the
- 19 readers of the RFPs. They're in the process or
- 20 just completing the same, relatively the same task
- 21 in New York, and I have the luxury of sitting with
- 22 one of the key people from New York on ESAC, and
- 23 he said the company was outstanding. They gave
- 24 them all the things that they were looking for.

- 1 And on kind of a selfish mode, as we were
- 2 talking, as we finished this ratio project, we
- 3 were talking about jumping right into completion
- 4 rates and some of the other things with our own
- 5 rules and regulations. Well, in the hiring of the
- 6 consultant, one of the tasks they were told they
- 7 needed to complete and look at was the rules and
- 8 regs of our current board and recommendations, and
- 9 one of the things specifically was completion
- 10 rates and pay scales and things like that. So
- 11 that's going to save us a little bit of work right
- 12 now as far as we won't be jumping right into that.

- 13 Between the amendments to 29-29 and whatever this
- 14 consultant may bring by August or September, at
- 15 that time, if those recommendations are adopted,
- 16 that may take our work out of necessity.
- 17 So I would recommend, you know, I know you'd
- 18 have to take my word for it, but I think we should
- 19 go on record as agreeing with the hiring of Coffey
- 20 for this project.
- 21 MR. MARLAND: Motion.
- 22 MR. RILEY: I'll second that
- 23 motion.
- 24 MR. HOLMES: Any questions on any

- 1 of this? Seeing none, all those in favor, signify
- 2 by say aye? Opposed?
- 3 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
- 4 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
- 5 We were talking, we acknowledged the new
- 6 board member. Director, we had a conversation. I
- 7 don't know if we're going to go forward. One of
- 8 the things I suggested is the other vacancy that
- 9 we have, I would suggest that we post it again,
- 10 seeing that it's basically a management type
- 11 position --
- MS. POWELL: Yes.
- 13 MR. HOLMES: -- and we really

- 14 didn't have management applications. The previous
- 15 director suggested that maybe we wait for the
- 16 incoming, the expansion of the board to other
- 17 occupations. I think we both agree that that's a
- 18 little bit down the road, and we need to fill this
- 19 position and then maybe look at expanding the
- 20 board later when those new occupations come on
- 21 board.
- 22 So I would suggest, if we can, to get that
- 23 posted, if you still agree.
- 24 MS. POWELL: Still agree.

- 1 MR. HOLMES: To post that position
- 2 as soon as possible so we can get that position
- 3 filled. Because we all know that the wheels of
- 4 the government aren't real fast. It's taken us
- 5 six to seven months to get David here. So we need
- 6 to get it going.
- 7 MS. POWELL: Okay. I think we can
- 8 do that.
- 9 MR. HOLMES: Before we get into the
- 10 rules and regs, is there anything else we need to
- 11 discuss? Anything else before the council?
- MR. CARNEY: Mr. Chairman, just one
- 13 item. A while back, we agreed that anything that
- 14 the federal government needs for apprenticeship,

- 15 that the state would adopt it, also. And now it's
- 16 necessary that we put in a federal tax ID number
- 17 of companies in order to get them onto the rapid
- 18 system. And other states have told me just
- 19 recently that -- the SAC representative usually
- 20 issues a number to a certain company, and that's
- 21 how we monitor the company. And what they have
- 22 done in other states is they've used the tax
- 23 number as their identification number. It serves
- 24 two purposes. If people come down here and they 0027
- 1 don't have a Rhode Island tax number, they should
- 2 not be indenturing apprentices, of course, and
- 3 that's one way of proving it. And we just had a
- 4 company just recently that I noticed when I asked
- 5 them for their Rhode Island tax number and they
- 6 didn't have one.
- 7 So I think it would be benefit the council as
- 8 a whole to have the SAC representative stop
- 9 issuing these numbers, even if they're in a
- 10 sequence, and use a Rhode Island tax ID number
- 11 instead.
- MR. HOLMES: Do we see a problem
- 13 with that? It sounds logical to me.
- 14 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: Well, there is a
- 15 big problem with that. What I would like to do,

- 16 and I talked to Howard, I didn't talk to Howard
- 17 too long on this issue, is leave the system we
- 18 have activated going and just add that tax number
- 19 to the form. I think that's the way it should be
- 20 done.
- 21 MR. HOLMES: Your goal is to get
- 22 the tax ID number on the form.
- 23 MR. CARNEY: I thought instead of
- 24 just issuing these numbers, it would serve the0028
 - 1 same purpose, but no problem.
 - 2 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: Should we do it
 - 3 with the new apprentices or existing?
 - 4 MR. CARNEY: We would do them with
 - 5 the new companies. It's not the apprentices. New
 - 6 companies. And then over time, we could adjust
- 7 these as we're working with the company. Like if
- 8 I do a compliance report and a SAC representative
- 9 has to reach the company, just ask them for their
- 10 tax ID number.
- 11 MS. GRIECO: They should know that
- 12 number. But if they don't, our ASOS data system
- 13 on our side of the house, we can pull that number
- 14 up in a heartbeat because we use that number on
- 15 every one of our job order forms.
- 16 MR. HOLMES: I think it makes sense

- 17 to me to have the tax ID number on there. If you
- 18 don't use it as the overall ID number, at least
- 19 have it on there.
- 20 MS. GRIECO: We use it really as a
- 21 guideline that if an employer calls us and wants
- 22 to give us a job order, we will not put a job
- 23 order in the system if they are not a registered
- 24 tax paying through our status unit, and that way 0029
- 1 we inform them. We also do a double check with
- 2 Workers' Comp before we put a job order in to be
- 3 sure that the particular company has Workers' Comp
- 4 already because we don't want to be sending our
- 5 job seeker customers to a company that doesn't
- 6 have all the rules and regulations followed.
- 7 MR. HOLMES: Would we be out of
- 8 line passing a motion to add the tax ID number to
- 9 the application?
- 10 MR. LEPORE: I'll make the motion,
- 11 Mr. Chairman.
- 12 MR. RILEY: I'll second that
- 13 motion.
- 14 MR. HOLMES: With details to be
- 15 worked out with the department. All those in
- 16 favor, signify by saying aye? Opposed?
- 17 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)

- 18 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
- 19 We'll work it out.
- 20 Anything else?
- 21 All right. I don't know if everybody brought
- 22 their rules and regulations that we've discussed
- 23 ad nauseam. I would ask Val or Ben to kind of
- 24 give us a recap. Well, I'll give mine first.

- 1 The document that I'm passing around is the
- 2 culmination of our work since a year and a half
- 3 ago with some changes and then there was a public
- 4 hearing held several months ago. The handwritten
- 5 notes that you see on there, of course I had some
- 6 highlighted which didn't come out in the copies,
- 7 were the areas of concern that came out of the
- 8 public hearing. We had a discussion probably back
- 9 in November or December, I forget exactly what,
- 10 where I pointed out where the possible changes
- 11 might occur and some ideas. So all it was was
- 12 some food for thought.
- 13 And as far as I know and as far as I'm
- 14 concerned, today is the day that we either make
- 15 changes based on the public hearing or any other
- 16 information we had. And there's only a few
- 17 sections that were affected. We either make
- 18 changes or not and then go forward from there.

- 19 And as far as our work is, we're done.
- 20 MR. LOMBARDI: Mr. Chairman and
- 21 members of the board, we are in the process where
- 22 we had a public hearing and comment was made. All
- 23 of the members of the commission or council did
- 24 have an opportunity, I would hope, to read the

- 1 comment and then decide whether, based upon that
- 2 comment, any additional changes would be made in
- 3 the proposed rules and regulations. I know of a
- 4 couple that were suggested and were going to be
- 5 made, but I don't know all of them and then we
- 6 also sent a regulatory or flexibility memorandum
- 7 analysis to the Department of EDC, economic
- 8 development, and they received it, the Governor's
- 9 Office received it. We haven't received a
- 10 response back to them. They're under the
- 11 impression that don't have to give us a response,
- 12 but they would just file an analysis. I kind of
- 13 disagree with that, but I can't argue with them
- 14 concerning it. If they don't choose to give us a
- 15 response, then they don't give us a response.
- 16 What we have to do -- although what we have
- 17 to do as a council and the department will assist
- 18 the council in is to respond to any comments that
- 19 were not adopted by this commission. Any comments

- 20 for changes to the rules and regulations that were
- 21 not adopted, we would indicate a response in
- 22 general to those comments and why they were not
- 23 adopted by the council. Any ones that were
- 24 adopted, the change would be made and the final 0032
- 1 document would be filed with the Secretary of
- 2 State. After that, if there are any problems that
- 3 people still conceive that they have, then they
- 4 can bring suit against the department or the
- 5 commission that they are hurt by the proposed
- 6 rules and regs. That's where it goes.
- 7 MR. HOLMES: Okay. Before we get
- 8 started, I would ask if there's any comments from
- 9 the public because once we get started, I think
- 10 the only comments will be from the council and/or
- 11 the department, legal staff or the leadership.
- 12 So does anyone have any comments before we
- 13 get started?
- 14 MR. PETRUCCI: Louie Petrucci,
- 15 Interstate Electrical.
- 16 Areas of concern that we have according to
- 17 the information I received. Section 2F, "Sponsor
- 18 means any person, firm, association, committee,
- 19 organization or corporation that is principally
- 20 located within the State of Rhode Island with a

- 21 recognized capability to operate."
- The way it's been defined to me, my principal
- 23 operation has to be in this state.
- 24 MR. CARNEY: No.

- 1 MR. PETRUCCI: That's a concern,
- 2 obviously. Because I don't have any -- even
- 3 though we're incorporated to do business by the
- 4 laws of the State of Rhode Island in this state,
- 5 our principal operation, we cannot exist without
- 6 our main corporation up north.
- 7 Section 3K, "If the council determines that a
- 8 registered sponsor has violated any federal or
- 9 state labor program requirement, the council may
- 10 suspend the apprenticeship registration for a six
- 11 month period after notice and hearing."
- 12 What constitutes a violation? I mean, is
- 13 there a process for that system to find that
- 14 they're in violation? Because if they are to
- 15 suspend it for six months, that means I got a
- 16 number of apprentices out on the street then
- 17 because I've got to let them go because I'm no
- 18 longer part of the program.
- 19 And then the last part which is Appendix A,
- 20 electricians ratio one to one, then one to three.
- 21 There hasn't been anything submitted as far as I

- 22 know to support the two topics; number one is that
- 23 they're better trained, matter of opinion, and
- 24 that it's a safer environment on a one to one and 0034
 - 1 one to three ratio. I've asked Associated
 - 2 Builders and Contractors in Washington if there
 - 3 are any studies or if they're aware of any studies
 - 4 to that, if it's a safer environment. I got ahold
 - 5 of Independent Electrical Contractors, also, to
 - 6 find out if there were any studies done to show a
 - 7 safer environment. I also got ahold of OSHA,
 - 8 limited, but nevertheless got ahold of them, and
- 9 none of the three were aware of any studies even
- 10 warranted that a one to one and one to three ratio
- 11 was a safer environment. So there was nothing to
- 12 back that.
- 13 The problem here is that, in my case, I run a
- 14 lot of service people.
- 15 MR. HOLMES: I mean, we're not
- 16 going through a public hearing. Please, just make
- 17 your point and be done. We've heard this. I do
- 18 appreciate what you're saying.
- 19 MR. PETRUCCI: That's it. Those
- 20 three topics, those three issues.
- 21 MR. HOLMES: Anyone else?
- Why don't we start right at the beginning.

- 23 Basically, if you will -- and if anybody saw
- 24 anything else or wants from the council or legal 0035
- 1 or the department, please stop me as we go. But
- 2 judging by my notes, these were the areas.
- 3 They're very similar to what Mr. Petrucci just
- 4 said.
- 5 Up in the upper left, the Governor and EDC
- 6 was not notified. That was brought out at the
- 7 hearing. That's been taken care of.
- 8 The next in Section 2A, the official title
- 9 now needs to be changed. It's now the Office of
- 10 Apprenticeship, meaning we need to take the word
- 11 "bureau" and the words "and training" out. I
- 12 think that's just housekeeping.
- 13 I think what we'll do is as we go through
- 14 these, we'll take a motion on each one as we go
- 15 through, and then we'll take a motion on the
- 16 entire document.
- 17 So is there any discussion on making that
- 18 change? All those in favor, signify by saying
- 19 aye? Opposed?
- 20 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
- 21 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it. Top
- 22 of page two --
- 23 MR. LEPORE: Mr. Chairman, we need

24 to make a motion.

- 1 MR. HOLMES: All right. I'm sorry.
- 2 MR. LEPORE: I'll make that motion.
- 3 MR. RILEY: And I'll second it.
- 4 MR. HOLMES: Motion made and
- 5 seconded to adopt a change of Office of
- 6 Apprenticeship of the United States Department of
- 7 Labor. All those in favor, signify by saying aye?
- 8 Opposed?
- 9 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
- 10 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
- 11 Next topic for discussion is Section 2F.
- 12 This has been highly debated. This goes back to
- 13 our discussion from a long time ago. Some of the
- 14 discussions that came out of the public hearing
- 15 was could there be a grandfather list if we do
- 16 adopt something like that. And then one
- 17 alternative that was suggested was instead of
- 18 "principally located," to say to have a "physical
- 19 residence." I only put that in as that's what the
- 20 discussion has been.
- 21 At this point, we have to decide to either
- 22 make the change to "principally located" or any
- 23 other part of it or leave it as it's printed.
- 24 Right, Val?

- 1 MR. LOMBARDI: Correct.
- 2 MR. HOLMES: I open it up for
- 3 discussion.
- 4 MR. RILEY: "Physical presence,"
- 5 why don't we make a motion to change it to
- 6 "physical presence." That means you've got an
- 7 office somewhere in the state.
- 8 MR. LEPORE: Also be registered
- 9 with the Secretary of State being qualified to do
- 10 business.
- 11 MR. LOMBARDI: It would depend if
- 12 that person was a corporation.
- 13 MR. HOLMES: Or an LLC.
- 14 MR. LOMBARDI: Well, an LLC, then
- 15 they have to register with the Secretary of State.
- 16 But if they're a sole proprietorship, they don't
- 17 have to register with the Secretary of State or a
- 18 partnership.
- 19 MR. HOLMES: One of the major
- 20 concerns. This goes back a while. We talked
- 21 about that.
- Val, you want to weigh in on this? I know
- 23 there was discussion with legal about could we, in
- 24 fact, adopt such a rule?

- 1 MR. LOMBARDI: Yeah, there was a
- 2 question about if this was -- since you do have
- 3 situations where you work among states as opposed
- 4 to just being solely within the state, that this
- 5 would seem to thwart any determination to have
- 6 that and that might create a legal problem for
- 7 some of your registered sponsors.
- 8 So that's why -- I know that you want a
- 9 presence within the state. And our advice is to
- 10 make it a presence as opposed to have it that
- 11 their principal office is located here because
- 12 that might create too much of a hardship and it
- 13 might knock out a lot of companies that won't be
- 14 able to have apprentices.
- 15 MR. HOLMES: The other discussion
- 16 was in the past, we've had companies that register
- 17 with a post office box or somebody's house. And
- 18 when you're looking at it for the betterment of
- 19 the apprentice, you know, what is their training,
- 20 what is the possibilities and the welfare of the
- 21 apprentice with a company that's using a post
- 22 office box. So that's what precipitated this way
- 23 back when.
- MR. CARNEY: The other thing it 0039
- 1 affects is an over five account. An over five

- 2 account needs to have a physical presence in the
- 3 state where apprentices, especially women and
- 4 minorities, can go to for Affirmative Action.
- 5 They can't be applying out of state. You can't
- 6 expect them to go to Massachusetts to take out an
- 7 application. That's the big reason why we should
- 8 have physical presence.
- 9 And, also, I can't get it in writing at the
- 10 present time, but my bureau only gave the State of
- 11 Rhode Island the authority to operate within their
- 12 territorial borders. Otherwise, we wouldn't need
- 13 SACs up in Massachusetts and Connecticut. And we
- 14 are allowing users of the indentured program
- 15 reciprocity. So I don't see where it causes a
- 16 problem at all.
- 17 In Mr. Petrucci's case, he has a physical
- 18 presence and that's what we're talking about, a
- 19 physical presence where people can go and take out
- 20 an application.
- 21 MR. HOLMES: Something other than a
- 22 house or post office box.
- 23 MR. CARNEY: It's common sense.
- 24 MR. HOLMES: Any other discussion?
- 0040
- 1 MR. CARNEY: But I've been
- 2 challenged on it. When people that we've allowed

- 3 a registered apprenticeship and found out
- 4 afterwards when it came time to do the Affirmative
- 5 Action, that they were located up in Blackstone,
- 6 Massachusetts. And when I went up there and I
- 7 said to them, "You have to take your standards of
- 8 apprenticeship out in Massachusetts versus Rhode
- 9 Island," they didn't want to do it. "Show it to
- 10 me in black and white." Well, we didn't have
- 11 anything in black and white. And one of the
- 12 reasons to activate it is to put something in to
- 13 protect it.
- 14 MR. RILEY: I made a motion for
- 15 "physical presence" and Mr. Lepore amended that
- 16 motion. So we have to rescind the complete
- 17 motion?
- 18 MR. HOLMES: He would have to
- 19 rescind the second.
- 20 MR. RILEY: The amendment.
- 21 MR. LEPORE: To clear it up, I'll
- 22 rescind.
- 23 MR. HOLMES: Okay. Would you
- 24 restate?

- 1 MR. RILEY: I make a motion that we
- 2 replace the word "principally" with "physical
- 3 presence" in Section F.

- 4 MR. LEPORE: I'll second it. MR. HOLMES: Any other discussion? 5 6 All those in favor, signify by saying aye? 7 Opposed? 8 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES) 9 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it. 10 MR. LOMBARDI: Mr. Chairman, we will make it read that there will be a physical 11 12 presence. We're going to have to change certain 13 language, but the intention is rather than having a principal location, it will be physical presence 14 within the State of Rhode Island. 15 16 MR. HOLMES: Okay. Thank you. 17 The next one is at the bottom of the page. I 18 had it highlighted, so it probably doesn't show, 19 but this appears numerous times throughout the 20 document where it was suggested to take out a trade and replace it with an occupation. And, 21 apparently, that's coming from the federal level, 22
- The discussion at the time was, you know, 0042
- 1 there's lot of trades. Apprenticeship has

as well.

- 2 basically been trade oriented in the past, and I
- 3 personally suggest that we don't want to lose
- 4 that. So my suggestion was wherever this occurs

- 5 is to put the words "trade/occupation" so we cover
- 6 both rather than just eliminating the word
- 7 "trade."
- 8 MR. LEPORE: I make a motion we do
- 9 that.
- 10 MR. MARLAND: I'll second it.
- 11 MR. HOLMES: It comes up about
- 12 seven or eight times. Motion made and seconded.
- 13 Any discussion? All those in favor, signify by
- 14 saying aye? Opposed?
- 15 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
- 16 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
- 17 Okay. Page three, three-quarters of the way
- 18 down, Item K. "If the council determines that a
- 19 registered sponsor has violated federal or state
- 20 labor program requirement, the council may suspend
- 21 the apprenticeship registration for a six month
- 22 period after notice and hearing."
- 23 This drew a lot of discussion. Some of the
- 24 talks were, does it violate apprenticeship law?

- 1 Is it expanding our authority as given by the
- 2 state? Is it legal? It's vague and not defined.
- 3 I believe -- I'm not sure who drafted this. This
- 4 clause is a new clause in its entirety.
- 5 MR. LOMBARDI: That was drafted by

- 6 the original subcommittee who were to make the
- 7 original edits.
- 8 MR. HOLMES: I think the background
- 9 is we all know that there's some unscrupulous
- 10 employers out there and that the current
- 11 decertification program is cumbersome at best or
- 12 at least, and this was a way that -- I mean, there
- 13 are occasions where we need to de-certify a
- 14 program. So this was an attempt. And, again, we
- 15 kind of saw that it was vague. So we tried to put
- 16 something in there that after a notice and a
- 17 hearing, that we could de-certify a program based
- 18 on facts that were presented to us.
- 19 Does anybody have any -- most everybody heard
- 20 the public hearing. Do we want to adopt this or
- 21 do we want to make any changes to it?
- 22 MR. LEPORE: I don't understand why
- 23 they don't understand the violation. They come to
- 24 the council and they make an agreement as to how
- 0044
- 1 they're going to go about training. If they don't
- 2 adhere to that agreement, they're in violation of
- 3 the agreement. So why is it so hard to
- 4 understand?
- 5 MR. LOMBARDI: Mr. Chairman, I
- 6 think that the main issue was people were

- 7 complaining that this was an expansion of -- it
- 8 was an overly broad expansion of that by using the
- 9 term "any federal or state labor program
- 10 requirement." It doesn't mention the term
- 11 apprentice agreement. Just "any federal or state
- 12 labor program requirement." It could mean
- 13 anything.
- 14 MR. HOLMES: Should we just take
- 15 "labor program" out and put "apprenticeship
- 16 requirement"? Or "apprentice council
- 17 requirement"? Would that be more...
- 18 MR. LOMBARDI: That would limit it.
- 19 MR. HOLMES: I mean, that's the
- 20 scope of our body. So I think maybe the
- 21 suggestion would be to take the words "labor
- 22 program" out and replace it with either
- 23 "apprenticeship requirements" or the "State
- 24 Apprenticeship Council requirements."

- 1 MR. MARLAND: I agree. That makes
- 2 sense.
- 3 MS. POWELL: Actually, as I'm
- 4 listening, I'm thinking unfortunately I wasn't in
- 5 this spot to listen to all of the comments in the
- 6 hearing. But I'm thinking, number one, I agree.
- 7 If it says state Apprenticeship Council

- 8 requirement or apprentice requirement, I actually
- 9 just have to turn to the staff, is there a
- 10 substantive difference between the two?
- 11 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: No.
- MS. POWELL: Kathy?
- 13 MS. SERRECCHIA: No.
- MS. POWELL: So then my suggestion
- 15 would be "State Apprenticeship Council
- 16 requirement." That would be my suggestion.
- 17 MR. LEPORE: I make that a motion,
- 18 Mr. Chairman.
- 19 MR. RILEY: Seconded.
- 20 MR. HOLMES: Motion made and
- 21 seconded to replace the word "labor program" --
- 22 all right. I'm sorry.
- 23 MR. LOMBARDI: Just one other
- 24 comment before. Does the term "federal" have to 0046
- 1 be in there? Are there federal requirements
- 2 separate and apart from our state requirements
- 3 that can be violated which this council has
- 4 authority to rule upon?
- 5 MR. HOLMES: I believe so. We
- 6 adopt 29-29 as our model. That's the federal law.
- 7 MR. LOMBARDI: But if there's a
- 8 violation of that, does it come to this council or

9 some federal body? 10 MR. HOLMES: I think it comes here. 11 MR. LOMBARDI: Okay. That's all I 12 want to make sure. MR. HOLMES: So the motion is to 13 replace the words "labor program" with "State 14 Apprenticeship Council requirements." All those 15 in fair, signify by saying aye? Opposed? 16 17 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES) 18 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it. 19 There was on page four, number four. Section 5, number four, there was some discussion 20 where a statement that, "Apprentices shall not be 21 22 less than 16 years of age." Now, in the construction industry, we have 18. So this one is 23 24 a little bit technical, I think. And there's 0047 insurance issues. I know in the construction 1 2 industry, there's definitely insurance issues with somebody out there around power equipment not 3 being under 18 years of age. So there was a 4 little bit of discussion, not a lot. And I guess 5 6 I ask legal, you know, are we getting ourselves in a problem by opening it up to 16? 7 8 MR. LOMBARDI: It would depend upon which trade or occupation you're talking about.

10 MR. HOLMES: Understood. 11 MR. LOMBARDI: This doesn't say 12 that anybody 16 can work anyplace. It's just your 13 apprenticeship rules and regulations would at 14 least cover someone that was 16. 15 MR. HOLMES: With the exception at a higher age requirement which the council has 16 determined. So I guess we're covered there. 17 18 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: What I wanted to 19 say is I think we should leave the 16 years in 20 there, especially with the expansion of the Apprenticeship Council. The 18 years in the 21 construction industry, that's a law. That's 22 23 federal law. 24 MR. LOMBARDI: And Ben just pointed 0048 out that the state and federal child labor laws do 1 2 prohibit anyone under the age of 18 from working 3 that has this type trade. MR. HOLMES: So we'd be okay 4 5 leaving it 16 the way it's written. 6 MR. JACKSON: It's specific to those industries in the child labor law. If you 7 read the child labor laws, it's specific to 8 textiles. It doesn't mention construction. 9 10 MR. LOMBARDI: Yes, it does.

- 11 MR. JACKSON: It does? I stand
- 12 corrected.
- 13 MR. HOLMES: I think all those
- 14 things considered plus the rest of the clause, I
- 15 think we're covered.
- MR. EKNO: With the new programs
- 17 you've got coming out now, you're going to be
- 18 going into the high schools. At least 16 so you
- 19 don't get in trouble in the high schools.
- 20 MR. RILEY: It could be a mom and
- 21 daughter day care in the house.
- 22 MR. HOLMES: Okay. We're all set
- 23 on that page.
- Going on to the next page, number ten. This 0049
- 1 has been kind of a pet peeve of mine and I think
- 2 this is one of the many places we should put this.
- 3 We need to remind people that it is one to one for
- 4 the first apprentice. And the more places we put
- 5 that, I know it might be redundant, but I think
- 6 this is a place where we should actually insert
- 7 that clause, reminding everyone that it's clear
- 8 that every company has the ratio of one to one for
- 9 the first apprentice. You know, this has been
- 10 blown out of proportion to a fare thee well.
- 11 I would suggest we add the words "one to one

- 12 for the first" in this section. And the debate
- 13 was, "The ratio of apprentice to journeyman is set
- 14 forth in Appendix A, attached hereto and made part
- 15 herein as applicable to all participating
- 16 employers in each apprentice occupation." We'll
- 17 get into Appendix A at the end.
- 18 So my suggestion would be, and if there's any
- 19 other additions or corrections to this section, we
- 20 should also add the sentence, reminder or whatever
- 21 in bold, that the ratio of apprentices for all
- 22 companies is one to one for the first apprentice.
- 23 MS. POWELL: Mr. Chairman, I'm not
- 24 sure if this is a typo. "Provision for the 0050
- 1 numeric ratio of apprentice"?
- 2 MR. HOLMES: Yeah. The "N" is a
- 3 typo. Thank you.
- 4 Any discussion? Is there a motion?
- 5 MR. MARLAND: I'll make a motion.
- 6 MR. RILEY: I'll second that
- 7 motion.
- 8 MR. HOLMES: The motion is to add
- 9 the sentence regarding "one to one for the first
- 10 apprentice," and the department will make it read
- 11 right. All those in favor, signify by saying aye?
- 12 Opposed?

- 13 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)14 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
- 15 That's it on that page. If anybody sees anything
- 16 as we go along that I missed or whatever.
- 17 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: 14.
- 18 MR. HOLMES: 14?
- 19 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: The underlined
- 20 portion.
- 21 MR. HOLMES: The underlined part.
- 22 "All construction apprentices shall comply with RI
- 23 General Law 28-28 regarding OSHA." That's
- 24 regarding the OSHA 10, I believe. What are you 0051
 - 1 saying? We should spell that out what it is?
- 2 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: No. Plus it
- 3 covers the 18 years of age on a construction site.
- 4 MR. HOLMES: All right. So noted.
- 5 That, for everybody's information, that law is the
- 6 OSHA 10 requirement.
- 7 Next page, 26 and 27 created an abundance of
- 8 comments. Mainly, in 26, it says, "The apprentice
- 9 shall submit a weekly report to the sponsor." The
- 10 current rule is -- what are we -- I mean,
- 11 according to the way it's written, this is new.
- MR. LOMBARDI: My understanding is
- 13 there's no specific requirement. It's just at the

- 14 end of the term when they file their final card
- 15 report, everything is on there. This would add an
- 16 extra requirement that they would maintain this so
- 17 if somebody wanted to look at it, it would be
- 18 available. Submit weekly reports. Then I recall
- 19 the council having discussed making it less
- 20 strenuous and making them either monthly or
- 21 quarterly.
- MR. EKNO: What happened,
- 23 Mr. Chairman, I think some of these sponsors are
- 24 misinterpreting some of the parts in here that
- 0052
- 1 they're supposed to do. Right at the present
- 2 time, I'm not here anymore, I'm retired. While I
- 3 was here, they had the apprentice's company, the
- 4 sponsor had an apprentice logbook they're supposed
- 5 to keep, and in that logbook there's related
- 6 instruction plus classroom related -- I mean,
- 7 on-the-job training. Both those scenarios come
- 8 together. Most of the companies were coming like
- 9 maybe in once a year when an apprentice would come
- 10 in to renew his card, and we would accept that as
- 11 long as it was signed by the company where it says
- 12 sponsor and it would also have to be initialed on
- 13 the right hand column every one of those days that
- 14 the apprentice worked. We would accept that.

- 15 I think that some of these companies are
- 16 thinking that they have to report to the state
- 17 every week. That isn't going to work.
- 18 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: When an invoice
- 19 goes out now, we change the invoice. That has to
- 20 be signed by the sponsor and the number of hours
- 21 on that invoice. It goes out to every sponsor.
- 22 When that comes back, it's entered. I think the
- 23 purpose of this was at the beginning, if I recall
- 24 right, some of the apprentices were coming in and 0053
- 1 they couldn't recall what their hours were. That
- 2 booklet was for them to keep track of their hours
- 3 in case there was a dispute between the contractor
- 4 and the apprentice at the end. That was the
- 5 purpose of the book. This kind of got a little
- 6 bit out of hand with this reporting.
- 7 Our main concern is every year when they come
- 8 in to renew their apprentice card, the hours are
- 9 entered on their voucher so we can enter it into
- 10 our system so we know exactly from year to year
- 11 the number of hours that are being entered for
- 12 that apprentice.
- 13 MR. CARNEY: Mr. Chairman, in every
- 14 set of standards, we give the apprentice and the
- 15 employer a work process. In that work process, it

- 16 shows the employer and the employee approximately
- 17 how many hours they should be spending on each
- 18 different aspect of their trade, totalling up to
- 19 4,000 hours, 8,000 hours, whatever it may be.
- 20 The intent of this daily logbook is so the
- 21 apprentice or the employer can put into the master
- 22 record card sheet which is also an appendix to the
- 23 standards, that we can go in and monitor to make
- 24 sure that the individual is being moved around as 0054
- 1 best that employee can do and not just being left
- 2 on certain aspects of the trade and doing the same
- 3 thing over and over again. It's a more
- 4 systemized approach and the biggest advantage over
- 5 people not being trained according to a system.
- 6 That was the original intent of the work process
- 7 in the monitoring of the hours.
- 8 MR. HOLMES: And I agree. And I
- 9 think the debate, like what Val said, they're
- 10 saying it's cumbersome to do it weekly. But on
- 11 the other hand, if you went to quarterly, which I
- 12 think is probably a fair compromise, but if you go
- 13 quarterly, then the apprentice, if he isn't doing
- 14 it weekly, he's got to remember back for three
- 15 months, and it's not going to happen.
- 16 So on one hand, it may be cumbersome. But

- 17 this is saying the apprentice must submit the
- 18 logbook once a week, and, I mean, that's not --
- 19 MR. LEPORE: It's not an employer's
- 20 burden.
- 21 MR. HOLMES: So, I mean, I don't
- 22 know if we go more than monthly.
- 23 MS. POWELL: I was just thinking.
- 24 I was thinking monthly.

- 1 MR. HOLMES: Because at least maybe
- 2 they could think back four weeks.
- 3 MR. LOMBARDI: The burden is upon
- 4 the apprentice to do it, but don't forget, it's
- 5 the sponsor who has to maintain it because he has
- 6 to do the final master card.
- 7 MR. HOLMES: And they're already
- 8 required to do that. Any other discussion?
- 9 MR. LEPORE: It's to make sure they
- 10 get trained. How else are we going to keep track
- 11 of it?
- 12 MR. HOLMES: I think ultimately,
- 13 like you said, that this is going to provide the
- 14 vehicle that hopefully, ideally we'll get somebody
- 15 who's going to go out to the companies and
- 16 schooling to monitor, and they'd be able to walk
- 17 in and see that every apprentice that's working

- 18 for XYZ contractor is keeping their book up to19 date.
- 20 So is there a motion?
- 21 MS. POWELL: Mr. Chairman, if I
- 22 can, just one more idea. What about biweekly
- 23 similar to pay?
- MR. HOLMES: Every other week.

- 1 MS. POWELL: Every couple of weeks.
- 2 If the concern is that weekly is too onerous on
- 3 behalf of both, but we want to make sure that
- 4 information is shared and consistent, would that
- 5 respond to the concern but keep the concerns of
- 6 Apprenticeship Council in mind?
- 7 MR. LOMBARDI: To be honest with
- 8 you, I think you're going to have a complaint no
- 9 matter what.
- 10 MR. HOLMES: No matter which you
- 11 do, somebody is going to object.
- 12 MS. POWELL: Right. I understand
- 13 that.
- MR. HOLMES: So why don't we pick
- 15 something that we think is reasonable. Sorry,
- 16 Louie.
- 17 MS. GRIECO: Monthly.
- 18 MR. RILEY: Monthly ought to be

- 19 enough.
- 20 MS. POWELL: I would think monthly
- 21 is okay.
- MR. HOLMES: Is there a motion?
- 23 MR. RILEY: So I make a motion to
- 24 change the word "weekly" to "monthly."

- 1 MR. MARLAND: I'll second it.
- 2 MR. HOLMES: Motion has been made
- 3 to change the word "weekly" to "monthly." Any
- 4 other discussion? All those in favor, signify by
- 5 saying aye? Opposed?
- 6 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
- 7 MR. HOLMES: So it's monthly.
- 8 Section 27 again created a lot of discussion
- 9 in the public hearing. "The estimated completion
- 10 date affixed to the apprenticeship agreement may
- 11 be extended not to exceed two years. Requests for
- 12 an extension beyond two years will be made in
- 13 writing to the council."
- 14 I'll preface my comments by saying I think
- 15 this clause was misunderstood. But some of the
- 16 comments were it was insensitive, it's unfair to
- 17 minorities, it's unfair in general.
- 18 Typically, now there's a lot of difference,
- 19 we run anywhere from three to five years basically

- 20 for apprenticeship. I believe this clause says
- 21 that no more than two years beyond the normal
- 22 apprenticeship. An apprentice can be extended for
- 23 no more than two years beyond. And if in select
- 24 instances you needed more than two years, then you 0058
- 1 could apply in writing to us.
- Now, speaking from my craft, if somebody
- 3 can't complete the requirements in six years,
- 4 there's something wrong.
- 5 MS. POWELL: Unless there's a
- 6 health issue.
- 7 MR. HOLMES: Unless there's a
- 8 health issue or something like that.
- 9 MR. LEPORE: That's addressed in
- 10 the last sentence.
- 11 MR. MARLAND: Well, you can apply
- 12 for an extension for your health or whatever, you
- 13 can apply for those extensions, can't you?
- 14 MR. LOMBARDI: The way it reads,
- 15 you could apply for an extension for more than two
- 16 years. It's just it indicates that, "The date
- 17 affixed may be extended not to exceed two years,"
- 18 and then requests for more than two years can be
- 19 applied for and addressed by the council. And it
- 20 doesn't say the number of extensions, either.

21 MR. HOLMES: It's pretty open 22 ended. 23 MR. LEPORE: I make a motion that 24 we retain it the way it's written. 0059 1 MR. MARLAND: I second that. 2 MR. HOLMES: Any other discussion? MS. POWELL: I just have a 3 4 question. Is this the current practice as it is now? So this is no change in the current 5 practice? 6 MR. RILEY: That's a whole new 7 section, right? 8 9 MR. HOLMES: In other words, it's basically been the practice, but it's never been 10 11 written. So that's why it's underlined. 12 MS. POWELL: And it hasn't been a problem up to this point, right? 13 14 MR. LOMBARDI: The council has 15 dealt with all requests of that nature. 16 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: The comment I want to make, the purpose of that two years was for the 17 18 people that are continuing ten, 15, 20 years carrying an apprenticeship. 19 20 MR. LOMBARDI: You mean the lifetime apprentices? 21

22	MS. SERRECCHIA: We've allowed them			
23	to do that.			
24	MR. D'AMBRUOSO: That was the			
0060				
1	purpose of doing this. But two years, I mean, if			
2	you look at the industry right now, all these			
3	apprentices are just about laid off in every			
4	industry going. Two years is kind of tight. I			
5	hope this council doesn't get flooded by people			
6	asking for extensions on those two years.			
7	MR. HOLMES: Well, that because our			
8	current rule is, what, 1800 or 2,000 hours which			
9	is definitely unrealistic. That was another one			
10	of the rules we needed to look at in general.			
11	That right now, by agreement, each apprentice is			
12	supposed to work 2,000 hours or 1800?			
13	MS. SERRECCHIA: 2,000.			
14	MR. HOLMES: 2,000. On the books,			
15	it's 2,000. As Ronnie says, right now, and it has			
16	been, it's been physically impossible. You take			
17	holidays out, you take weather out, you take all			
18	this stuff out, 2,000 is unrealistic. So if we			
19	hold everybody to the strictest letter of the law,			

you're right, it could take forever. But most

22 within X amount because it does provide for a

programs have some form of a waiver if they get in

20

- 23 waiver not to receive the 2,000. But we don't
- 24 want people out there as a lifetime or getting 200

- 1 hours in a year and then being passed on to the
- 2 next year or to a journeyman.
- 3 MS. POWELL: So this is just
- 4 codifying what already exists, and it would
- 5 address the people who are lifetime because they
- 6 have to come before the council to explain why
- 7 they've been an apprentice for eight years or
- 8 whatever. And for the people who exceed two
- 9 years, if they do what's in 26 and keep the book,
- 10 they could show the periods of unemployment and
- 11 different things like that that might impact why
- 12 they didn't have the time.
- 13 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: Can I ask another
- 14 question on that?
- 15 MR. HOLMES: Yes.
- MR. D'AMBRUOSO: How is that going
- 17 to impact you? I'll use electricians. He works
- 18 for a company for 500 hours. Now he can't find
- 19 another job, and he works for Walmart for two
- 20 years. Would that new company that hires him,
- 21 would they have to come before this council or the
- 22 apprentice would have to come before this council?
- 23 MR. HOLMES: I think the apprentice

24 would.

^	^	•	1
U	U	O	Z

- 1 MR. CARNEY: The new sponsor would
- 2 have to have an indentured program. Otherwise,
- 3 his time wouldn't count. That's against licensing
- 4 laws --
- 5 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: I understand that.
- 6 That's not what I'm asking. If he worked for an
- 7 indentured contractor for 500 hours. Now he can't
- 8 find someone else to work for. Two years along
- 9 the line, he does finds someone that's an
- 10 indentured contractor.
- 11 MR. CARNEY: His responsibility is
- 12 8,000 hours, whether it be apprenticeship or
- 13 licensing. So he has to complete the 8,000 hours.
- 14 He'd have to complete 7200 hours more.
- 15 MS. POWELL: So I think Ron's
- 16 question is so that would have to come before the
- 17 council?
- 18 MS. SERRECCHIA: Yeah.
- 19 MS. POWELL: And it would.
- 20 According to this, it would.
- 21 MS. GRIECO: By whom Ron is saying.
- MR. HOLMES: That's what's
- 23 happening in a lot of companies. They use them
- 24 for a couple of years and they discharge them and

006	53
1	they don't go anywhere. In union programs, they
2	tend to go to other employers. In nonunion
3	programs, they just go wherever. And maybe
4	they're lucky enough to get back into a program at
5	some point.
6	MS. POWELL: So this isn't saying
7	they'd be denied. But it's basically saying that
8	they would come before you. And your question is
9	basically is this going to create a lot more? But
10	it sounds like the council is willing to entertain
11	that.
12	MR. RILEY: They got to come before
13	the board and have a hearing and be reviewed.
14	MR. HOLMES: Reviewed. What
15	happened? Where's he been?
16	MR. CARNEY: We've been operating
17	under this for years and it hasn't been a problem.
18	MR. HOLMES: Motion has been made
19	and seconded to leave number 27 as written. All
20	those in favor, signify by saying aye? Opposed?
21	(VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
22	MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
23	Nothing but trade and occupation on the next
24	page.

- 1 Now I think we move to the appendixes unless
- 2 anybody has anything else.
- 3 Before we get into the electrical debate,
- 4 there was one other housekeeping issue. If you go
- 5 to the very last page, there was a housekeeping
- 6 issue on the unlicensed trades. The heat and
- 7 frost insulators were omitted by mistake, so we
- 8 added them in. And they have a one to four, and
- 9 that's the accepted practice in the field. I
- 10 would entertain a motion to include that.
- 11 MR. MARLAND: I make a motion.
- MR. LEPORE: Second.
- 13 MR. HOLMES: Any discussion?
- 14 Hearing none, all those in favor, signify by
- 15 saying aye? Opposed?
- 16 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
- 17 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
- 18 MR. LOMBARDI: Would that be across
- 19 the board?
- 20 MR. HOLMES: Yes -- no. I
- 21 apologize. It would be just commercial.
- 22 MR. LOMBARDI: Okay. It would
- 23 Nonapplicable for any others.
- MR. HOLMES: Nonapplicable for the

1 others.

- 2 Now we get to probably the most discussed
- 3 topic that we had. Go back to Appendix A, first
- 4 item, electricians. One to one and then one to
- 5 three is what we adopted after everything was
- 6 said. There was a lot of discussion that it
- 7 should be one to one. There was discussion that
- 8 it should be one to five. All over the board. We
- 9 heard from everybody here. We have our resident
- 10 electrical person here. We discussed it when we
- 11 adopted this, and this is what we adopted.
- 12 So what I'm saying is we adopted one to one
- 13 which is the same for everybody in commercial and
- 14 manufacturing/shop. After the first one, it goes
- 15 to one to three. I just want to make sure
- 16 everyone is understanding what we're saying.
- 17 Is there a motion to change that? Hearing
- 18 none, I would entertain a motion to --
- 19 MR. LOMBARDI: I don't think you
- 20 have to.
- 21 MR. HOLMES: Okay. No motion
- 22 there. And I guess for the record --
- 23 MR. LOMBARDI: Mr. Chairman, there
- 24 is an issue there concerning operating engineers 0066
- 1 which was brought up -- which Mr. Burnham who is
- 2 the chairman of the Hoisting Board did speak at

- 3 the public hearing and he also addressed the
- 4 Hoisting Board and Mr. D'Ambruoso about the
- 5 situation whereby the operating engineers, if I
- 6 can paraphrase from what Mr. Burnham said at the
- 7 public hearing, do not feel there's a need to have
- 8 a ratio there because you would need a license to
- 9 operate a hoisting device. So there cannot be
- 10 a -- there's no apprenticeship situation there.
- 11 You cannot work one to one. They are working on
- 12 something where they'll have a trainee license
- 13 which would take that place. But right now as it
- 14 exists today, hoisting engineers and operating
- 15 engineers, there's only one person they can work.
- 16 They have no apprenticeship. So that the
- 17 apprenticeship ratio would be nonapplicable to
- 18 them. That's what Mr. Burnham had suggested, and
- 19 I wanted to make sure the board understood that.
- MR. HOLMES: Well, you're the
- 21 resident expert in that craft.
- MR. D'AMBRUOSO: I go along with
- 23 Val on that situation. There shouldn't be a ratio
- 24 for there.

- 1 MR. HOLMES: So, in other words,
- 2 those blocks should be blank.
- 3 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: Those blocks

- 4 should be left wide open.
- 5 MR. LOMBARDI: Or not applicable.
- 6 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: The one to five is
- 7 something that's done within the union, but that
- 8 has no bearing on us.
- 9 MR. HOLMES: It's pretty much for
- 10 the overall companies.
- 11 MR. D'AMBRUOSO: Yeah.
- 12 MR. HOLMES: For the record, I'd
- 13 entertain a motion that the blocks opposite
- 14 operating engineers would say N.A.
- 15 MR. LEPORE: I'll make that motion.
- 16 MR. RILEY: Second.
- 17 MR. HOLMES: Any other questions?
- 18 All those in favor aye, signify by saying aye?
- 19 Opposed?
- 20 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES)
- 21 MR. HOLMES: The ayes have it.
- 22 Does anyone else, board member or legal or
- 23 the department have any topics to discuss?
- Seeing none, I would entertain a motion to

- 1 accept the rules and regulations as amended and to
- 2 be fixed in English, understood by all, highly
- 3 suggested to include one to one for the first one
- 4 as many places as we can put it, and I would

- 5 entertain the motion to accept as amended. MR. LEPORE: I'll make a motion, 6 Mr. Chairman. 7 MR. RILEY: Second. 8 9 (VOTE TAKEN; MOTION PASSES) MR. HOLMES: Any questions? 10 11 Howard. MR. CARNEY: Just a question. When 12 13 this is sent in to the Secretary of State, do any other documents that we use have to go with it? 14 15 MR. LOMBARDI: No. 16 MR. HOLMES: Please, let's make 17 sure all the appendixes and everything is included 18 with this so we don't leave any technicalities. 19 MR. CARNEY: Because we are going 20 to have to make changes to the standards. 21 MR. HOLMES: When the federal thing 22 is --MR. CARNEY: When it's accepted by 23 24 the state. 0069 1 MR. MARLAND: How long before we figure this is going to go into effect? 2 3 MR. LOMBARDI: This should go into
- 4 effect almost immediately. And probably will be5 challenged almost immediately, also.

```
MR. HOLMES: Could I ask whoever
6
   does this to forward the completed rewritten
7
   document to the council?
8
9
           MR. LOMBARDI: We will, and I think
10
   we'll need your signature on the final document.
11
            MR. HOLMES: Oh, good.
12
            MR. COPPLE: You'll be named as a
13
   defendant.
14
            MR. HOLMES: Anything else to come
   before the council? I thank everyone for their
15
   patience and their hard work and let's see what
16
17
   the consultant comes up with.
            MR. RILEY: I'll make a motion to
18
19
   adjourn.
20
            MR. LEPORE: Second.
21
            (VOTE TAKEN: MOTION PASSES)
22
            MR. HOLMES: Thank you. The next
   meeting is March 25, our usual day, the
23
24
0070
   fourth Tuesday right here. Thank you.
2
        (HEARING ADJOURNED AT 10:50 A.M.)
3
4
5
6
```

```
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
0071
             CERTIFICATE
1
2
3
            I, Linda S. Taylor, a Notary Public in
   and for the State of Rhode Island, hereby certify that
4
5
   the foregoing pages are a true and accurate record of my
   stenographic notes that were reduced to print through
6
   computer-aided transcription.
7
```

- 8 In witness whereof, I hereunto set my hand
- 9 this 29th day of February, 2008.